Advisory to University Leadership Responding to Campus Demonstrations, Protests, and Unrest: Balancing Order and Public Safety, Free Speech, and Civil Discourse

By Christian Stegmaier¹

Executive Summary

Universities stand as pivotal arenas for intellectual development and as microcosms of broader societal dynamics. Recent campus demonstrations, particularly those arising from global conflicts like the Israeli-Palestinian situation, highlight the unique challenges faced by higher education institutions in managing such dynamic environments. This advisory provides strategic insights to assist university leaders in maintaining safety and upholding the principles of free speech and civil discourse amidst these challenges.

- **Understanding Campus Activism**: Modern campus activism is heavily influenced by notions of social justice, driven by a desire to address perceived systemic injustices.
- University Role and Leadership's Responsibility: Universities are not just educational institutions; they mirror and sometimes amplify societal conflicts. The role of administrators is crucial in navigating these complexities, requiring clear communication, adaptable policies, and proactive leadership to manage both predictable and unforeseen challenges effectively.
- **Faculty Engagement**: Faculty members play a vital role in shaping the campus environment. They are tasked with guiding students through complex political and social activism, ensuring that such activism remains constructive and aligned with ethical standards. Concordantly, faculty should not interfere with university order or

¹ Christian Stegmaier is President and Managing Partner of Collins & Lacy, P.C., a defensebased law firm headquartered in Columbia, South Carolina. Celebrating its 40th anniversary in 2024, Collins & Lacy possesses a storied history of providing tireless representation and trusted guidance to both private and public institutions operating in South Carolina. Practicing for nearly 25 years, Stegmaier has made over 45 appearances before both state and federal appellate entities; moreover, he is a member of the American Board of Trial Advocates, an invitation-only federation of attorneys with a demonstrated track record in the trial courts. Stegmaier has also served as member of the adjunct faculty at the University of South Carolina's nationally acclaimed School of Hospitality, Retail & Sport Management.

operations. Moreover, they should not participate in advocacy that veers into intimidation, violence, hate speech, or property distruction.

- **Strategic Collaboration and Transparency**: Collaboration with law enforcement and other agencies must be carefully managed to ensure that responses are appropriate and proportionate. Transparency in these collaborations is essential to maintain trust among all campus stakeholders.
- **Calls to Action**: The advisory concludes with specific actions for universities, including establishing clear policies, enhancing educational programs, forming crisis management teams, and fostering a culture of respect and open dialogue. These steps are designed to mitigate unrest and promote a constructive environment for all community members.

This advisory underscores the imperative for university leaders to adeptly balance the principles of order and public safety, free speech, and civil discourse amid the evolving landscape of campus activism. By embracing a strategic and proactive approach—highlighted through clear policy frameworks, effective stakeholder collaboration, and robust educational initiatives—universities can navigate these complex challenges. Implementing these recommendations will not only safeguard the academic mission but also enhance the institution's role as a dynamic arena for intellectual and social development. Ultimately, the success of these efforts will determine how well universities can foster environments where diverse viewpoints are explored and respected, preparing a new generation of leaders equipped to handle the complexities of a global society.

Extended Advisory

Universities serve as critical arenas for intellectual development and social discourse, often reflecting broader societal issues within their campuses. Recent events, notably the campus demonstrations related to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, have underscored the unique challenges these institutions face in managing dynamic and sometimes volatile environments. These demonstrations have occasionally escalated into violence and widespread disruption, posing significant challenges for university administrators tasked with safeguarding both public safety and the foundational principles of free expression and civil discourse. This advisory aims to equip these administrators with strategic guidance to navigate these complexities effectively, ensuring the safety of the university community while staunchly defending academic freedoms.

Introduction

The role of the university in society is multifaceted, extending beyond education to act as a microcosm of broader societal interactions and conflicts. Administrators are thus required not only to manage but also to lead with foresight and sensitivity, particularly when

addressing polarizing issues like the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. It is imperative that policies are not only clearly communicated and equitably enforced but also sufficiently adaptable to respond to the rapidly changing dynamics of student activism and societal shifts. This dynamic environment demands that administrators remain vigilant and proactive in their strategies to manage both expected and unforeseen challenges effectively.

Faculty members, too, play a crucial role in this ecosystem. As educators and mentors, they have the capacity to significantly influence the tone and direction of student activism. By modeling responsible behavior, promoting an atmosphere of open dialogue, and guiding students through the complex landscape of political and social activism with ethical integrity, faculty can help ensure that activism on campus serves as a constructive force for positive change rather than a divisive element.

Moreover, the collaboration with law enforcement and other external agencies must be managed judiciously to ensure responses to campus activism are appropriate and proportionate to the specific situations at hand. This complex interplay requires a nuanced understanding of when to intervene and when to permit events to unfold within the structured confines of university policies. Maintaining transparency in these decisions is crucial to preserving trust among all stakeholders involved, including students, faculty, staff, and the community at large.

Understanding the Landscape of Current Campus Activism

The resurgence of campus activism, particularly surrounding issues like the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, mirrors a broader revival of student involvement in pressing global and domestic issues. Today's student activism is driven by a profound sense of social justice, deeply rooted in the values of equity, inclusivity, and the dismantling of perceived systemic injustices. This current generation of students has been significantly shaped by instantaneous access to global information and an unprecedented awareness of international human rights issues, leading to a strong identification with global struggles against oppression.

This sense of global citizenship is markedly different from the motivations that fueled student protests in previous decades. For instance, during the 1960s and early 1970s, campus activism was heavily influenced by the civil rights movement and opposition to the Vietnam War. Students rallied against what they saw as egregious moral transgressions by their own government, and their activism was characterized by a direct challenge to authority figures perceived as oppressive. The protests were often a direct response to specific, immediate issues of justice and policy, such as racial equality and military conscription.

The environmental and anti-nuclear movements of the late 1970s and 1980s also reflected the spirit of their times but were driven by a burgeoning awareness of ecological and global survival issues. Unlike the highly politicized protests of the 1960s, these movements were less about confronting authority than promoting a broader consciousness about the human impact on the earth and the existential threat of nuclear war. These activists leveraged emerging scientific data, which played a crucial role in shaping public opinion and policy.

Today's campus activists are more likely to draw parallels between different forms of oppression, seeing them as interconnected and global in scale. The influence of social media and digital communication has created a generation of students who are acutely aware of global injustices in real-time and see activism as a means to address these systemic issues on a global scale. They are motivated by a desire to enact social change that transcends national borders, recognizing the interdependence of social justice issues across different contexts and regions.

Moreover, current student protests often embody a more inclusive approach to activism. This generation tends to emphasize intersectionality, recognizing the compounded effects of various forms of discrimination and oppression. While earlier movements were sometimes criticized for their lack of diversity or awareness of multiple identities, today's activists are more likely to advocate for a broad spectrum of social justice issues simultaneously.

While the motivations and manifestations of student activism have evolved over the decades, the common thread remains a strong commitment to challenging perceived injustices. Today's students are part of a digitally connected world that heightens their awareness and accelerates their responses to social injustices, making campus activism a powerful reflection of global societal shifts. Each generation reshapes activism in its own context, influenced by the prevailing cultural, political, and technological landscapes.

Role of University Administrators in Managing Protests and Related Unrest

University administrators have a dual responsibility: They must ensure that the campus remains a safe environment for all students and staff; and uphold the fundamental values of academic freedom and the right to free speech. The strategies below are designed to help balance these priorities:

• **Pre-emptive Measures and Education**: Implement educational programs that promote understanding of civil engagement and nonviolent protest, focusing on student rights and responsibilities under university policies and broader legal frameworks.

- **Clear and Consistent Policies**: Develop and communicate clear guidelines regarding campus protests and demonstrations, explicitly prohibiting violence, property damage, and hate speech.
- **Designated Spaces for Expression**: Establish designated areas for free and safe expression, strategically located to minimize disruption while being accessible for student participation.
- **Facilitation of Constructive Dialogue**: Host moderated forums and discussions including diverse perspectives on conflicts to foster understanding and respect among opposing views.
- **Rapid Response and Crisis Management**: Form a trained rapid response team including campus security and student affairs to intervene early and prevent escalation of disputes.
- **Support Systems for Affected Students**: Provide robust counseling and mental health services to assist students impacted by the disruptions of protests, ensuring these services are well-publicized and accessible.

Parameters of Free Speech and Expression

Universities are foundational pillars for fostering intellectual growth and expression, where the exchange of diverse ideas is not just encouraged but is essential to their educational mission. However, the exercise of free speech and demonstration has boundaries, particularly when the actions of individuals or groups escalate to violence, intimidation, hate speech, and other violations of university policies The university must define clear boundaries for free speech and demonstrations, particularly addressing behaviors that compromise public safety and order.

Establishing clear parameters for free speech and expression is critical to maintaining a safe and conducive learning environment. Here are suggested parameters on where free speech and demonstration arguably stop and start under specific circumstances:

• Violence and Intimidation: The right to free speech does not include the right to engage in violence or threaten the safety of others. When protests turn violent or when demonstrators use intimidation tactics against other community members, the university must intervene promptly. Such actions clearly cross the line from protected free expression to unacceptable behavior that threatens the safety and well-being of the campus community.

- **Hate Speech**: Universities must balance the protection of free speech with the necessity to prevent speech that is genuinely harmful or threatening. Hate speech, defined as speech that attacks or demeans a group based on attributes such as race, religion, ethnic origin, sexual orientation, disability, or gender, often falls outside the protections of free speech, especially when it incites violence or creates a hostile environment. Administrators need to address hate speech proactively to uphold the institution's values and comply with legal standards concerning discrimination and harassment.
- Violations of University Policies and Code of Conduct: Each university has a code of conduct that outlines the expectations for student behavior, which typically includes respect for others, the property, and the governance systems of the institution. When students or faculty materially violate these policies, their actions transcend the permissible bounds of free expression. In these cases, the university has the authority and responsibility to restore order and discipline the offenders according to established judicial or administrative processes within the institution.
- Occupation of University Facilities and Disruption of University Operations: While sit-ins and similar forms of protest are a storied element of campus activism, they must be conducted without disrupting the essential operations of the institution. Occupation of university buildings or disruption of operations and scheduled events such as graduation ceremonies are activities generally not protected under free speech; they impede the ability of the university to fulfill its educational mission, infringes on the rights of others, or involves any form of damage to property.
- **Destruction of Property**: The destruction of property is a clear violation of legal statutes and university policies. Such behavior is not protected under free speech and is subject to disciplinary action and potentially legal prosecution. Universities must ensure that protests and demonstrations are conducted in a manner that does not harm physical resources or infrastructure, which are essential for the functioning of the educational environment.
- Enforcement and Education: It is imperative for universities to not only enforce these parameters but also educate the community about them. Regular workshops, orientation sessions, and clear and easily accessible communications should be used to inform students and staff about what constitutes acceptable behavior and the importance of respecting the boundaries of free speech. Transparency in how these rules are applied is crucial to maintaining trust and fairness in administrative actions.

By clearly defining and enforcing these boundaries, universities can preserve the integrity of academic freedom and free speech while ensuring that the campus remains a safe, respectful, and productive environment for all. In doing so, they uphold their mission as institutions of higher learning and critical thinking, where future leaders are nurtured in an atmosphere of mutual respect and lawful behavior.

The Central Role of the University President in Managing Campus Protests and Unrest

The university president holds a critical position in managing campus demonstrations and unrest, acting as the lead administrator, key decision-maker, and primary spokesperson. This role demands a careful balance between decisive leadership and comprehensive collaboration with all stakeholders to navigate the complexities of campus crises effectively.

Leadership and Decision-Making

As the top executive officer, the university president must provide strong, clear leadership during times of unrest. This involves making strategic decisions that prioritize the safety and well-being of students and staff while upholding the principles of free speech and peaceful assembly. The president must be prepared to make tough choices swiftly, balancing the immediate needs of the situation with the long-term values and goals of the institution.

Collaboration with Stakeholders

Effective management of campus unrest requires the president to collaborate closely with a broad range of stakeholders, including students, faculty, campus security, local law enforcement, and the university board of trustees. Each group has unique insights and concerns that the president must consider in crafting responses to unrest. Engaging with student leaders and faculty committees can provide critical perspectives that help ensure decisions are well-informed and inclusive.

Consulting with External Advisors

In times of crisis, the university president should also consult with outside advisors such as crisis management specialists and media consultants. These professionals can offer expert guidance on handling volatile situations, ensuring that the university's actions are measured and effective. Crisis management advisors can assist in developing strategies that mitigate risk, while media consultants can help manage communication to shape public perception positively.

Importance of Communication and Transparency

Clear, constant communication is paramount during any period of campus unrest. The university president, as the chief communicator, must ensure that all messaging is coherent, timely, and transparent. This communication should extend across all channels and reach all university stakeholders, including students, staff, parents, and the broader public. Transparency in the president's decisions and the rationale behind them helps to build trust and understanding, even under challenging circumstances.

Communication strategies should be proactive rather than reactive, anticipating concerns and addressing them head-on. Regular updates, press releases, and public addresses can be effective ways to keep the community informed and engaged. In addition, holding open forums where students and staff can express their concerns and ask questions directly to the administration can foster a sense of involvement and partnership.

Navigating Media Relations

The university president must adeptly manage media relations to control the narrative surrounding the unrest. This involves being accessible to the media, providing clear and factual updates, and highlighting the university's efforts to restore peace and order. Managing media relations effectively can help mitigate negative publicity and ensure that the university's perspective and actions are accurately represented.

In conclusion, the university president's role in managing campus demonstrations and unrest is multifaceted, requiring decisive leadership, extensive collaboration, expert advice, and effective communication. By embodying these qualities and maintaining transparency throughout the process, the president can guide the university through crises with integrity and poise, safeguarding its reputation and ensuring its strength for the future.

The Critical Need for University General Counsel in Campus Crisis Management

The university general counsel is indispensable in guiding the university through the legal, operational, and strategic challenges of managing campus unrest. Specifically, the university general counsel plays a pivotal role in navigating campus unrest, acting as a key advisor to the president and the board of trustees while also serving as a crucial liaison to external entities, including law enforcement. Through advising leadership, liaising with law enforcement, managing risks, and ensuring legal compliance, the general counsel upholds the legal integrity of the institution while supporting its mission and values in turbulent times.

Advisor to Leadership

The general counsel is instrumental in advising the university's top leadership on legal issues related to campus protests and unrest. This advice is crucial for making informed decisions that align with legal obligations and uphold the institution's values. The counsel ensures that the president and the board of trustees are aware of the legal ramifications of potential actions and helps guide the development of policies that are both proactive and reactive to campus incidents. This legal guidance is vital in areas such as student conduct, free speech, and the use of campus spaces for protests.

Liaison to Law Enforcement and External Entities

One of the unique roles of the general counsel is acting as a liaison between the university and external entities, such as local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies. This role is particularly critical during campus unrest, where clear and effective communication between the university and law enforcement is essential to manage situations delicately and lawfully. The general counsel facilitates this communication, ensuring that both parties are informed of their responsibilities and the boundaries of their authority. This coordination helps prevent misunderstandings and ensures that responses to demonstrations are appropriate and measured.

Risk Management Guidance

Effective risk management is essential, especially in real-time during campus crises. The general counsel provides robust risk management guidance to various stakeholders, helping the university navigate the complexities of each situation as it unfolds. This involves identifying potential legal risks and advising on how to mitigate them without infringing on rights or escalating tensions. Whether it's assessing the legality of a proposed campus action, advising on the implications of forceful dispersal of protesters, or handling sensitive issues related to student and staff discipline, the general counsel ensures that risk is managed effectively across all levels of the institution.

Ensuring Compliance and Upholding Standards

The general counsel ensures that all university actions during protests and unrest comply with applicable laws and regulations. This includes compliance with federal laws such as the Clery Act, which mandates reporting of certain incidents on campus, and local and state laws that may impact how the university handles protests. The general counsel's guidance helps maintain the institution's integrity and accountability, protecting it from legal challenges and reputational damage.

Training and Preparedness

Beyond immediate crisis management, the general counsel is also responsible for training university administrators and security personnel on legal issues related to campus unrest. This training ensures that university staff are prepared to handle situations in ways that are legally sound and aligned with best practices. By preparing administrators and security teams in advance, the general counsel helps create a framework for handling unrest that minimizes risk and promotes a safe, orderly environment.

The Role of the University's Board of Trustees in Managing Campus Unrest

The university's board of trustees plays a pivotal role in guiding the institution through periods of substantial campus protest and unrest. This governance body is responsible not only for overarching policy decisions but also for ensuring the university upholds its mission and values during crises. The Board's involvement is crucial before, during, and after events of campus unrest, providing strategic oversight and support to administration and ensuring that all actions align with the institution's long-term goals and legal obligations.

Preparation for Campus Unrest

As the university administration implements strategies to handle immediate concerns of campus unrest, the broader governance role of the university's board of trustees comes into sharper focus. Preparing for potential demonstrations and ensuring an effective response requires not only administrative vigilance but also strategic foresight and strong policy direction from the highest levels of university leadership. This is where the board of Trustees plays a critical role. By setting clear policies, ensuring robust resource allocation, and fostering a proactive campus culture, the board can significantly influence how well the university anticipates and manages periods of upheaval. Their actions and decisions lay the groundwork for a resilient institutional framework that supports administrators, faculty, and students alike during times of crisis.

- **Proactive Policy Development**: The board should work with university administrators to develop and review policies related to campus safety, student conduct, free speech, and crisis management. These policies must be comprehensive, clear, and aligned with legal standards and educational best practices.
- **Risk Assessment and Preparedness**: The board should ensure that the university has effective risk assessment processes in place. This includes preparing for potential unrest by understanding areas of vulnerability, such as contentious speaker engagements or volatile student movements. Ensuring that the university has a robust crisis management plan that is regularly updated and tested is also vital.

• **Resource Allocation**: It is the board's responsibility to allocate resources effectively to support preventive measures, including training for campus security, investment in mental health services, and development of communication systems that can be critical in times of crisis.

During Campus Unrest

As the board of trustees lays the foundation for preparedness, their involvement during actual periods of campus unrest becomes crucially significant. This shift from planning to action marks a critical phase where the board's strategic oversight and support directly influence the university's response to immediate challenges. By actively engaging during these times, the board ensures that the policies and resources previously set in place are effectively mobilized. This active oversight helps to stabilize the institution, guiding the administrative actions and ensuring that responses not only align with the university's core values but also adapt dynamically to the unfolding situation.

- **Support and Oversight**: While the day-to-day management of unrest typically falls to university administrators, the board must remain informed and involved. This involves supporting executive decisions with swift approvals for necessary actions or expenditures not initially planned.
- **Communication with Stakeholders**: The board should ensure that all communication is consistent and reflects the university's values. This might include preparing statements for the public and press, which articulate the institution's stance and response to the unrest, helping to manage external perceptions and internal morale.
- Liaison Roles: Board members may act as liaisons between the university and external entities such as law enforcement, local government, and community groups. Their higher-level connections can facilitate smoother interactions and more coordinated responses during crises.

After Campus Unrest

As the dust settles following periods of campus unrest, the role of the university's board of trustees transitions from active crisis management to reflective oversight and strategic planning. This critical juncture allows the board to evaluate the effectiveness of the responses and the resilience of the policies in place. Their engagement in the aftermath is pivotal in steering the university through a period of recovery and reform, ensuring that the lessons learned are integrated into future strategies and that the institution emerges stronger and more cohesive. This phase of reflection and action spearheaded by the board is essential for healing and reinforcing the university's commitment to its students and the broader community.

- **Review and Assessment**: After an incident of campus unrest, the board should lead a thorough review of how the situation was handled. This review should assess the effectiveness of the response, the adequacy of the crisis management plan, and the impact of actions taken on student safety and institutional integrity.
- **Policy Reevaluation**: Based on the review, the board should work with administration to adjust policies and procedures as necessary. This might involve strengthening areas where the university was underprepared or revising strategies that were found to be ineffective.
- **Community Rebuilding**: Post-crisis, the board should focus on healing and rebuilding the campus community. This includes supporting initiatives aimed at dialogue and reconciliation among students, faculty, and staff. The board should also oversee efforts to restore trust in the university's leadership and governance structures.
- **Long-term Strategic Planning**: Finally, the board must integrate the lessons learned from the unrest into the university's long-term strategic plans. This includes reinforcing commitments to campus safety, diversity, inclusion, and free expression, ensuring that the university not only recovers but also thrives in the aftermath of the crisis.

The involvement of the university's board of trustees is essential in managing campus unrest effectively. By taking a proactive, supportive, and reflective role, the board helps steer the institution through challenges, safeguarding its mission and ensuring its resilience against future unrest.

Faculty Involvement in Campus Disputes: Expectations and Parameters

Faculty members hold a unique and influential position within university settings, especially during times of campus activism and disputes like those concerning the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Here's a detailed perspective on the expectations and roles of faculty members in such situations:

- **Modeling Responsible Behavior**: Faculty members are expected to model behavior that aligns with the principles of academic integrity and respectful discourse. Their actions should reflect the university's commitment to a safe and inclusive educational environment.
- Educational Guidance and Neutrality: While faculty have the right to personal opinions and the freedom to express them, they must do so in a way that respects the diverse viewpoints of their students and colleagues. It is crucial that they maintain a level of impartiality in the classroom, ensuring that all students feel respected and free to express their views without fear of bias or retribution.

- Educational Guidance: Faculty can guide students on ethical and effective activism, emphasizing nonviolent approaches and the consequences of disruptive behavior. Moreover, faculty should use their expertise to provide students with the context needed to understand complex issues thoroughly. This involves presenting balanced perspectives and encouraging critical thinking rather than promoting a singular viewpoint.
- **De-escalation and Mediation**: In situations where tensions run high, faculty members can play a crucial role in de-escalating potential conflicts by mediating discussions, promoting nonviolent communication, and intervening if discussions threaten to become disruptive or harmful.
- **Accountability**: Clear policies should govern faculty involvement in protests to ensure their actions do not exacerbate tensions, with appropriate disciplinary actions taken when necessary.

Role of Faculty in Campus Disputes

- **Facilitators of Dialogue**. Faculty can serve as facilitators for dialogue, creating platforms where students can engage in open and informed discussions. These might include organizing panels, workshops, or debates that encourage listening and understanding diverse perspectives.
- **Resource Providers**. Professors can help students access a wide range of resources for understanding the complexities of a conflict, including scholarly articles, books, and guest lectures from experts. This broad base of resources helps students form educated opinions based on comprehensive information.
- **Mentors in Activism**. Faculty can mentor students on how to engage in activism responsibly. This guidance includes teaching the importance of peaceful protest, the impact of civil disobedience, and the ethical implications of various forms of activism.
- **Observers and Reporters**. In their role as campus community members, faculty can also serve as observers of protests and other activities, ensuring that student activism remains within the bounds of university policy and law. They can report any deviations to the appropriate university bodies to ensure that the campus remains a safe environment for all.

Addressing Faculty Involvement in Agitation

The presence of faculty members in protests can indeed be a double-edged sword. On one hand, their participation can lend credibility and a sense of urgency to the causes students are advocating for. On the other hand, their involvement can also escalate tensions,

particularly if they are seen as taking sides in a manner that could alienate or intimidate others.

To address this, universities might consider:

- Developing clear guidelines about the extent and nature of faculty involvement in activism, clearly delineating professional and personal roles.
- Training programs on conflict management and neutrality, helping faculty understand how their actions might be perceived and the impacts they can have.
- Promoting a culture of respect and inclusivity where all campus members feel their voices are heard and valued, regardless of their stance on an issue.

By clearly defining these roles and expectations, universities can ensure that faculty members contribute positively to the campus climate, even amidst divisive issues. This approach helps maintain a balance between active engagement and the academic neutrality necessary to foster an environment conducive to learning and growth.

Use of Law Enforcement in Campus Protests: When to Deploy and In What Manner

Universities face a tremendous challenge of managing campus protests, which may escalate into violence, intimidation, or significant property damage. In such situations, the involvement of law enforcement is necessary, but it requires careful and continual assessment and a collaborative, proportionate approach to ensure the safety and rights of all involved are respected.

General Guidance

Before engaging law enforcement, university administrators should thoroughly assess the protest's scale, intensity, and the immediacy of any threats. This assessment helps determine the appropriate level of law enforcement needed, if any. Proactive collaboration between university officials and law enforcement agencies is crucial. This includes preevent planning, shared training sessions focusing on crowd control and nonviolent interventions, and establishing clear communication channels and objectives. Such preparations ensure that both parties understand the thresholds for intervention and their respective roles.

Once the involvement of law enforcement is deemed necessary, it is essential to ensure that their response is proportionate to the situation. Law enforcement should employ the minimum force necessary and, if available, utilize officers specially trained in nonviolent

conflict resolution. It's also important to continuously assess the situation and scale back the law enforcement presence as threats diminish.

Transparency is key in maintaining trust within the campus community. Administrators should provide advance notice of law enforcement's role in managing a protest, offer real-time updates during the event, and conduct a thorough post-event debrief. This communication helps explain the rationale behind decisions and actions taken.

Finally, a joint review or after-action report involving both administrators and law enforcement should follow any protest requiring police intervention. This review, along with feedback from the campus community, will guide future policies and adjustments, ensuring that each response is more finely tuned to balance safety with the rights to free speech and peaceful protest. This reflective practice is crucial for fostering a safe and respectful educational environment.

Collaboration and Specialized Training for University Law Enforcement

Effective management of campus unrest necessitates strategic coordination between university law enforcement and external agencies such as state police or the National Guard. This coordination should be established well ahead of any crisis, involving the creation of joint operational plans that clearly define roles and responsibilities for all entities involved. Essential to this effort are Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) that outline each agency's contribution, joint training exercises to ensure familiarity with each other's tactics and protocols, and a unified command structure to streamline decisionmaking during large-scale protests or emergencies.

University law enforcement officers face unique challenges distinct from typical city or state policing, making specialized training crucial. Officers require crisis intervention training focused on de-escalation and conflict resolution, which are vital in the emotionally charged atmosphere of campus protests. Additionally, training in cultural competence is essential, given the diverse nature of university populations. This training helps officers respect cultural differences and prevent misunderstandings during interactions.

Moreover, it is imperative that campus law enforcement officers receive comprehensive instruction in the legal rights surrounding protests, including constitutional rights to free speech and assembly. They also need ethical training that underscores the balance between law enforcement and supporting the educational mission of the institution. This training ensures that officers not only uphold the law but also contribute positively to the campus environment.

The effectiveness of these collaborative and training initiatives should be continuously evaluated through after-action reviews following any campus incidents. These reviews provide critical insights into the efficacy of response strategies and highlight areas needing

improvement. Feedback from the campus community is also crucial, as it helps refine tactics and training programs to better meet the needs of the university setting.

In sum, the partnership between university law enforcement and external agencies, coupled with targeted training for handling campus-specific challenges, is essential for effectively managing campus unrest. These measures ensure that campus officers are well-prepared to act sensitively and efficiently, safeguarding both safety and the rights of all community members.

Post-Demonstration University Administrative Sanctions of Students and Faculty

After campus demonstrations, the university faces the critical task of addressing violations of its code of conduct committed by students and faculty. These responses not only uphold the institution's standards but also reinforce the community's trust in the university's commitment to fairness and justice. It is crucial to distinguish between minor violations and serious offenses, and to ensure that sanctions are transparent, equitable, and consistent with due process. Additionally, the boundaries of First Amendment rights must be carefully considered in the context of university discipline.

Distinguishing Between Minor and Serious Violations

Minor violations of the University Code of Conduct might include unauthorized posting of flyers or minor disruptions of campus activities. These infractions, while warranting correction, typically do not pose a significant threat to the safety or well-being of the campus community and can often be addressed with warnings or educational interventions.

In contrast, serious violations and crimes, such as assault and battery, substantial property destruction, or engaging in hate speech, necessitate a more strident response due to the harm or disturbance caused by them. This level of conduct undermines the safety and integrity of the university environment; hence, the more severe disciplinary actions, including suspension, expulsion, or referral to local law enforcement.

Maintaining Institutional Standards

The administration of sanctions is pivotal not only for upholding the university's standards but also for maintaining order and protecting the rights of all university members. It is crucial that the university's responses are:

• **Transparent**: Procedures and potential sanctions should be clearly outlined in the university's code of conduct, accessible to all students and faculty.

- **Equitable**: Sanctions should be consistently applied across similar cases, regardless of the individuals involved. This fairness is essential for maintaining trust in the university's governance.
- Adheres to Due Process: Accused individuals must be given a fair hearing, where they can respond to the charges against them, present evidence, and appeal against decisions deemed unfair or disproportionate.

First Amendment Considerations

When addressing violations involving speech, the university must carefully navigate First Amendment rights. While the First Amendment protects freedom of speech, this right is not absolute within private university settings or when speech violates lawful campus regulations. Public universities, as government entities, have a more stringent obligation to uphold First Amendment rights but may still regulate speech that:

- Constitutes a true threat or harassment.
- Incites imminent lawless action.
- Is part of conduct that disrupts the university's educational or operational activities.

In all cases, the university should ensure that its policies and their application align with legal standards governing free expression, particularly in disciplinary actions that may be perceived as infringing on these rights.

Implementing Fair Sanctions

When implementing sanctions, universities should consider not only the severity of the offense but also the context and intent behind it. Educational responses, such as mandatory training sessions or community service within the university, might be appropriate for first-time offenders or those whose actions stemmed from ignorance rather than malice.

In sum, the university's approach to post-demonstration sanctions plays a crucial role in maintaining campus order and upholding the institution's values. By distinguishing between different levels of violations, ensuring equitable and transparent disciplinary processes, and respecting First Amendment rights, the university can foster a safe, inclusive, and respectful learning environment.

Responding to the Defense of "Tenure" Employed By Faculty In Response to Threatened Sanction

Addressing administrative sanctions of faculty members for violations of university rules or code of conduct involves a nuanced approach, particularly when faculty invoke their tenure as a defense against disciplinary actions.

Tenure is designed to protect academic freedom and ensure that faculty can engage in intellectual debate without fear of undue repercussions. However, it is not an absolute shield against misconduct or breaches of institutional policies.

When a tenured faculty member cites their tenure status in defense against proposed sanctions for violations, administrators must carefully evaluate the nature of the violation against the protections that tenure is intended to provide. It is crucial to distinguish between actions that fall within the scope of academic activities — where tenure provides substantial protection — and behaviors that contravene the university's established conduct codes, such as harassment, discrimination, or other professional misconduct. In these cases, tenure does not provide immunity from sanction.

The process of sanctioning a tenured faculty member must be meticulously documented and adhere strictly to both the procedural standards set out in the faculty handbook and the principles of natural justice. This includes providing the faculty member with a clear statement of the charges against them, an opportunity to respond to these allegations, and a fair hearing before an impartial committee. Ensuring transparency throughout the process and maintaining the integrity of investigatory and disciplinary procedures helps uphold the balance between protecting the rights conferred by tenure and enforcing the university's standards of conduct.

Referral of Student and Faculty Conduct to Local Prosecutors for Investigation of Criminal Conduct and Possible Prosecution: Parameters for Doing the Same

Referring violations of university policies to local prosecutors for investigation and potential prosecution is necessary in specific circumstances where the actions of students, faculty, or staff not only breach university rules but also violate state or federal laws. Such situations include serious criminal acts like assault and battery, significant property destruction, drug distribution, theft, burglary, and sexual assault. These offenses warrant legal investigation and potential prosecution due to their severity and the immediate danger they pose to individuals and the broader campus community.

Incidents classified as hate crimes—those motivated by bias against protected characteristics such as race, religion, or sexual orientation—also necessitate referral to law enforcement. These acts impact not only individual victims but also undermine the safety

and inclusivity of the campus environment, demanding a response that aligns with specific legal statutes against hate crimes.

The university must also consider referrals when there is a direct threat to the campus community, such as threats of mass violence, the presence of firearms, or other credible threats that could lead to extensive harm. In such cases, the safety of the campus community must be the paramount concern, prompting immediate coordination with law enforcement agencies.

Under the Clery Act, a federal statute requiring colleges and universities participating in federal financial aid programs to maintain and disclose campus crime statistics and security information, institutions are obligated to report a range of crimes occurring on or near campus. This act ensures transparency and ongoing community awareness, contributing to a safer campus environment.

Before making a referral to local prosecutors, universities should conduct a thorough preliminary investigation to ensure that credible evidence supports the allegations. This approach helps maintain the integrity of the legal process and ensures that actions are taken responsibly. Coordination with law enforcement should be handled sensitively, respecting the privacy and rights of all parties involved while adhering to legal and ethical standards. By judiciously managing these considerations, universities can effectively balance the needs for safety, justice, and compliance with the law.

Call to Action

As university administrators, faculty, and board members navigate the complexities of campus activism, it is imperative to adopt a proactive and strategic approach to ensure the stability and growth of their institutions. The following call to action outlines key steps that should be taken to address and manage campus unrest effectively:

- **Establish Clear Policies**: Develop comprehensive policies that address the conduct during demonstrations, ensuring they are well communicated and understood by all members of the university community.
- **Promote Open Dialogue**: Encourage an ongoing dialogue between students, faculty, and administration to discuss and resolve potential grievances before they escalate into protests.
- Enhance Educational Programs: Implement programs that educate students on the importance of civil engagement and the impact of their activism within the legal and ethical boundaries of the university.

- **Strengthen Support Systems**: Provide robust mental health and counseling services to support students affected by campus unrest or the issues underlying such events.
- Form Crisis Management Teams: Establish a crisis management team that includes members from various segments of the university, trained to respond swiftly and effectively to unrest.
- **Review and Revise Crisis Plans Regularly**: Continuously evaluate and update crisis management plans to address new security challenges and incorporate lessons learned from past incidents.
- Allocate Resources Wisely: Ensure that adequate resources are dedicated to safety, security, and communication infrastructure to handle crises effectively.
- **Foster a Culture of Respect**: Cultivate an environment that respects diverse opinions and promotes tolerance among students and staff to reduce the likelihood of conflict.
- Engage with External Law Enforcement Strategically: Collaborate with local law enforcement to ensure that any involvement is appropriate, minimal, and respectful of students' rights.
- **Maintain Transparency**: Keep all university stakeholders informed about how incidents are handled and the rationale behind decisions affecting them.
- **Conduct Regular Training**: Offer regular training for administrators and faculty on handling protests and understanding the rights of activists.
- **Encourage Faculty Involvement**: Enable faculty to act as mediators and mentors, not just educators, to guide students in expressing their views constructively.
- **Monitor Social Media**: Keep an eye on social media trends to gauge campus mood and respond to misinformation or harmful rhetoric promptly.
- **Evaluate the Role of the Board of Trustees**: Regularly assess how the board's decisions impact campus culture and crisis management, ensuring their actions support positive outcomes.
- Learn from Each Incident: After each major protest or incident of unrest, conduct a thorough review to determine what was handled well and what could be improved, turning each event into a learning opportunity.

By adhering to these action points, universities can create a more harmonious campus atmosphere that respects individual rights and promotes a constructive dialogue around contentious issues. This proactive approach not only mitigates the impact of campus unrest but also strengthens the institution's role as a bastion of learning and personal development.

Conclusion

As universities navigate the increasingly complex landscape of campus activism, the role of university administrators becomes ever more critical in balancing the principles of public safety, free speech, and civil discourse. The challenges posed by events such as those surrounding the Israeli-Palestinian conflict not only test the resolve of these institutions to maintain order but also their commitment to uphold the sanctity of academic freedom and open dialogue. In this advisory, we have explored various dimensions of these challenges and offered strategic guidance aimed at fostering an environment where education thrives alongside robust civic engagement.

The primary task for university leaders is to ensure that their campuses remain bastions of safety and learning, where all voices can be heard and respected. This requires a clear and consistent policy framework that delineates the boundaries of acceptable behavior and vigorously protects the rights of all individuals. As discussed, the enforcement of these policies must be equitable and transparent, providing certainty in administration and fostering trust among the student body, faculty, and staff. These measures are not just administrative but form the bedrock of a campus culture that values dialogue over discord and education over agitation.

Moreover, the evolving nature of student activism, driven by a globally connected and socially conscious student population, calls for a dynamic response from university administrations. The contrasts between current student activism and historical movements, such as those in the 1960s and 1970s, highlight the shifting paradigms of protest and the broader societal issues they seek to address. Today's university leaders must navigate these changes with an understanding of both the historical context and the unique motivations that drive contemporary student activists.

Administrative leadership must also extend beyond reactive measures to proactive engagement. By fostering an environment that encourages constructive dialogue and critical thinking, universities can channel student activism into positive outcomes. This involves not only creating spaces for open discussion but also integrating these discussions into the broader educational mission of the institution. When students are engaged in meaningful ways, the potential for disruptive activism diminishes and is replaced by a collaborative effort to address complex societal issues. Lastly, the partnership between university administrators and external entities, including law enforcement and legal experts, must be handled with care to ensure that responses to campus unrest are appropriate and respectful of students' rights. The role of university general counsel is especially critical in this context, providing the legal framework within which administrative decisions are made and ensuring that these decisions comply with federal and state laws.

In conclusion, as universities face the dual challenges of maintaining order and promoting free expression, the path forward lies in their ability to adapt, engage, and educate. By doing so, they not only preserve their core mission of fostering knowledge but also contribute to the cultivation of a more just and informed society. This advisory serves as a roadmap for navigating the turbulent waters of campus activism, emphasizing that the ultimate success of universities in managing these challenges will shape the future of academic and civic life in profound ways.